

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,530	03/10/2004	Scott Lynn Michaelis	200313407-1	4525
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 02/07/2008 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			PATEL, NITIN C	
	LLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION CCOLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·	•	2116	
				·
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,530	MICHAELIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nitin C. Patel	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE O	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No	ovember 2007.					
<del>/_</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18, and 20-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18,21-29 and 34-36</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,9,10,30,31 and 33</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-8 and 32</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		· ·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This is in responsive to amendment filed on 20 November 2007.
- 2. Claims 11 29, and 34 36 are allowed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 3, 9 10, 30, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Garnett et al. [hereinafter as Garnett], US Patent 7,235,276 B2.
- 4. As to claim 1, Garnett discloses a system [multiprocessor/modular system, fig. 1] and a method of managing configuration data for a multi-cell computer system [1 multi-server system, fig. 1] [col. 1, lines 6 8], the method comprising: storing configuration data [configuration information] for a given multi-cell computer system to nonvolatile memory [667 non-volatile memory] of at least one cell of said given multi-cell computer system [1][col. 32, lines 50 51]; and storing a corresponding identifier [FRU-ID] to said nonvolatile memory [667] of said at least one cell that uniquely identifies the given multi-cell computer system to which the stored configuration data corresponds [col. 32, lines 49 63, fig. 19B].

- 5. As to claim 30, Garnett discloses a system [multiprocessor/modular system, fig. 1] comprising: a plurality of cells [as shown in fig. 1] in a multi-cell system [1 multi-server system, fig. 1] [col. 1, lines 6 8], wherein multiple ones of said cells include non-volatile memory [667 non-volatile memory] to which are stored configuration data [configuration information] and a corresponding identifier [FRU-ID] that uniquely identifies a given multi-cell partition [configuration space identifier] to which the cell's respective stored configuration data corresponds [col. 32, lines 49 63, fig. 19B].
- 6. As to claim 2, Garnett discloses storing configuration data comprises: storing said configuration data [configuration information] to said at least one cell during a first boot-up process [initialization with self configuration] of said given multi-cell computer system [col. 32, lines 52 64].
- 7. As to claim 3, Garnett discloses storing a corresponding identifier comprises: storing said identifier [FRU-ID] that uniquely identifies [FRU] that said at least one cell received said stored configuration data while a member of said given multi-cell computer system [col. 32, lines 51 -- 52].
- 8. As to claim 9, Garnett discloses given multi-cell computer system is a partition of a multi-cell computer system, said partition having a plurality of the cells of said multi-cell computer system [1 multi-server system, fig. 1, 2] [col. 1, lines 6 8, col. 6, lines 25 36, fig. 1, 2, and 4].
- 9. As to claim 10, Garnett discloses configuration data comprises at least one item selected from the group consisting of: information identifying a boot path for said given multi-cell computer system, information identifying a device [FRU-ID] to use as a system

console for said given multi-cell computer system, information identifying any tests to run when booting up the given multi-cell computer system, and information identifying resources of said given multi-cell computer system [col. 35, lines 12 – 24].

- 10. As to claim 33, Garnett discloses each of said cells [FRU] include non-volatile memory [667 non-volatile memory] to which are stored configuration data and a corresponding identifier [FRU-ID] that uniquely identifies a given multi-cell partition to which the cell's respective stored configuration data corresponds [col. 32, lines 49 63, fig. 19B].
- 11. Claims 1-3, 9-10, 30-31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Masuyama et al. [hereinafter as Masuyama], US Patent 6,961,761 B2.
- 12. As to claim 1, Masuyama discloses a system [200 computer system, fig. 2] and a method of managing configuration data for a multi-cell computer system [200] [col. 1, lines 14 24, 31 40], the method comprising: storing configuration data for a given multi-cell computer system to nonvolatile memory [145, 150, 155, domain configuration registers] of at least one cell of said given multi-cell computer system [200]; and storing a corresponding identifier to said nonvolatile memory of said at least one cell that uniquely identifies the given multi-cell computer system to which the stored configuration data corresponds [col. 1, lines 9 12, Col. 2, lines 33 35, lines 61 67, col. 3, lines 34 62, col. 4, lines 40 53, fig. 2].
- 13. As to claim 30, Masuyama discloses a system [200 computer system, fig. 2] comprising: a plurality of cells [130, 135, 140] in a multi-cell system [200] [col. 1, lines

- 14 24, 31 40], wherein multiple ones of said cells include non- volatile memory [145, 150, 155, domain configuration registers] to which are stored configuration data [in configuration space] and a corresponding identifier that uniquely identifies a given multicell partition [configuration space identifier] to which the cell's respective stored configuration data corresponds [col. 1, lines 9 12, Col. 2, lines 33 35, lines 61 67, col. 3, lines 34 62, col. 4, lines 40 53, fig. 2].
- 14. As to claim 2, Masuyama discloses storing configuration data comprises: storing said configuration data to said at least one cell during a first boot-up process of said given multi-cell computer system [col. 1, lines 9 12].
- 15. As to claim 3, Masuyama discloses storing a corresponding identifier comprises: storing said identifier that uniquely identifies that said at least one cell received said stored configuration data while a member of said given multi-cell computer system [col. 1, lines 9 12, Col. 2, lines 33 35, lines 61 67, col. 3, lines 34 62, col. 4, lines 40 53, fig. 2].
- 16. As to claim 9, Masuyama discloses given multi-cell computer system is a partition of a multi-cell computer system, said partition having a plurality of the cells of said multi-cell computer system [col. 1, lines 60 67,col. 2, lines 1 7, 33 60].
- 17. As to claim 10, Masuyama discloses configuration data comprises at least one item selected from the group consisting of: information identifying a boot path for said given multi-cell computer system, information identifying a device [FRU-ID] to use as a system console for said given multi-cell computer system, information identifying any

tests to run when booting up the given multi-cell computer system, and information identifying resources of said given multi-cell computer system [col. 35, lines 12 – 24].

- 18. As to claim 31, Masuyama discloses at least one cell of said multi-ceil system is operable to determine whether its stored identifier matches a unique identifier of said multi-cell system [col. 3, lines 34 38].
- 19. As to claim 33, Masuyama discloses each of said cells include non-volatile memory to which are stored configuration data and a corresponding identifier that uniquely identifies a given multi-cell partition to which the cell's respective stored configuration data corresponds [col. 1, lines 9 12, Col. 2, lines 33 35, lines 61 67, col. 3, lines 34 62, col. 4, lines 40 53, fig. 2].
- 20. **Examiner's note**: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

  Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.
- 21. **Prior Art not relied upon**: Please refer to the references listed in attached PTO-892, which, are not relied upon for claim rejection since these references are relevant to the claimed invention.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

10/797,530

Art Unit: 2116

22. Claims 4 - 8, and 32, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin C. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-3675. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

N: H C G 1 1 Nitin C. Patel 2/10

Nitin C. Patel 2
Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2100